

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,357	10/678,357 10/06/2003		Kazutaka Yamamoto	R2180.0059/P059-E	1792
24998	7590	05/11/2006		EXAMINER	
	-	O MORIN & OS	HINDI, NABIL Z		
2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· ·				2627	
				DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	10/678,357	YAMAMOTO, KAZUTAKA				
4	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		NABIL Z. HINDI	2627				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply		e correspondence address				
A SHO WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any ro	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state poly received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply build will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the cause the application to become ARANDO	ON. e timely filed  rom the mailing date of this communication.				
Status	,						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	May 2006					
		nis action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under						
	on of Claims	Exparto quayio, 1000 O.B. 11,	400 0.0. 210.				
·		•					
	Claim(s) <u>40-49</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
		awn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>40-49</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)[] 7	「he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) 🔲 🏾	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All  b)	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
:	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Applic	ation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri						
	application from the International Bure		<b>Q</b>				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment	(s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
2)   Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s)/Mai	Date  Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	arratent Application (FTO-192)				

Application/Control Number: 10/678,357

Art Unit: 2627

In response to applicant's response date May 02, 2006. The following action is taken:

The claims are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the previous office action repeated herein.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 40-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 06-162511 in view of 08-46907.

The primary reference discloses an optical disk recording apparatus comprising: an input data 10, 12, and 14, transferring the input data to an input buffer 20, 26, transferring the data to an encoder CD-ROM within the writing circuit 32, wherein when an interruption of data reading occur due to a track jump, the encoded data within the writing circuit 32 is maintained in RAM 34. However the reference does not disclose the monitoring of the memory being less than a threshold value. The secondary reference disclose the use of a memory monitoring circuit in order to determine the under/over flow within the memory for the purpose of maintaining a certain data level within the memory. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of the primary reference and modify the secondary reference. Such modification of monitoring

Application/Control Number: 10/678,357

Art Unit: 2627

the memory data level is a logical engineering capability in order to maintain predetermined data amount in the memory fort eh purpose of data continuity during an interruption. Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the teachings of the secondary reference for the purpose of maintaining an uninterrupted data flow.

With respect to the limitation of claim 42. the reference discloses two levels under/over flow which are obviously not equal.

With respect to the limitation of claim 43and 44. the primary reference shows an interleaving of data performed in the data recording on the recordable CD-ROM 32 which uses a CIRC encoder.

With respect to the limitation of claims 45 and 46 see element 24.

With respect to the limitations of claims 47 and 48 see elements 10, 12 and 14.

Applicant's arguments filed May 02, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument is centered around the prior art not showing the maintaining of the data within the encoder during a recording interruption. However, as cited in the JP '511', the data is maintained with the encoder 32 within the memory 34 meeting the claimed invention.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory

Art Unit: 2627

action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL Z. HINDI at telephone number (571) 272-7618.

RIMARY EXAMINER